

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 NATIONWIDE JUDGMENT RECOVERY,
8 INC.,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 TODD DISNER, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.
13

Case No. 2:24-cv-01256-RFB-DJA

ORDER

14
15 Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 10) of the
16 Honorable Daniel J. Albregts, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on April 11, 2025. A district
17 court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made
18 by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the
19 findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a).
20 When written objections have been filed the district court is required to “make a de novo
21 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations
22 to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party
23 fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” *de novo* or
24 otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140,
25 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by April 25, 2025. No objections
26 have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate
27 Judge’s recommendation.

28 ///

1 Therefore, **IT IS ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 10) is
2 **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED** in full.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED without prejudice**. The
4 Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

5
6 **DATED:** May 29, 2025.

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE